pacmed



Interpretability of machine learning on the intensive care SHAP and beyond



Platform voor de InformatieSamenleving

david.ruhe@gmail.com



Personal and precise healthcare through machine learning

pacmed



Patients only get care that has the **highest probability** of **succes** for them



Facilitate a continuous learning system where each decision can be used to improve the next



Spend scarce **resources** as **efficiently** as possible

Use case: predicting intensive care readmission risk



pacmed

Discharge window



Machine learning software for ICU readmission risk

pacme	ed v1.0.0		🗍 Downlo	ad handleid	ing Fee	edback	Ŵ
Afdelings	monitor			🗌 Toon	zonder o	nderstei	uning
BEDNR. V	PATIËNTGEGEVENS	OPNAME DIAGNOSE	HEROPN MORTALI RISICO	IAME/ ITEIT	✓ ONDE	ERSTEUN	ing 🗸
01	Janssen, J. Dhr. 14250 1954-11-01	Post-operatief CABG		1.0%	A	٢	A
02	Brandts, M. Mw. 18282 1954-11-11	Coma/verandering bewustzijnsniveau (non-operatief neuro)		1.8%	Ŵ	¢	A
03	Estevez, E. Mw. 15045 1940-07-15	Respiratoir - medisch anders		2.5%	Å	Ş	A
04	Veldhuis, J. Mw. 14593 1962-05-10	Longembolieën		4.7%	Å	Ş	A
05	Berendse, F. Dhr. 17359 1969-06-12	Cardiovasculair - medisch anders		1.6%	Ŵ	Ş	A
06	Huygens, S. Dhr. 15982 1968-09-29	Bacteriele pneumonie		6.1%	Å	Ş	A
07	Tully, T. Dhr. 15066 1939-04-01	Acuut nierfalen		•	٨	•	۸
08	Jungens, M. Dhr. 14290 1994-08-15	Bacteriele pneumonie		8.2%	Ą	¢	A
09	Meester, M. Dhr. 14688 1953-12-16	Congestief hartfalen		•	٨	¢	A
10	Waninge, G. Mw. 15363 1932-01-16	Post-operatief CABG		5.4%	٨	Ŷ	A
11	Yosef, Y. Dhr. 16976 1979-05-12	Pancreatitis		11.1%	Ŵ	Ş	A
12	Pols, F. Mw. 16228 1972-09-02	Post-operatief cardiovasculair anders		21.3%	٨	¢	A

So what is the goal of interpretability?



Gain **trust** in the model's predictions



Able to place the model's predictions in the right medical context; understand when to use the model and when not to use it



What are the different methods to achieve interpretability?



Transparency of the methodology and scope of the model

Global understanding of the workings of the model

Specific interpretability of the model's predictions





Monitoring

Afdelingsmonitor BEDNR. V PATIÊNTCECEVENS OPNAME DIACNOSE I Janssen, J. Dhr. 14250 1954-11-01 Post-operatief CABC I Brandts, M. Mw. 18282 1954-11-11 Coma/verandering bewustzijnsniveau (non-operatief neuro) I I	Toon : Toon : 1.0% 1.8%	zonder o	onderste ERSTEUN	uning
BEDNR. PATIÊNTGECEVENS OPNAME DIAGNOSE HEROF MORTZ RISICO 01 Janssen, J. Dhr. 14250 1954-11-01 Post-operatief CABG Image: Cable of the cable of t	2NAME/ LITEIT	✓ OND	ERSTEUN	iing 🗸
01Janssen, J. Dhr. 14250 1954-11-01Post-operatief CABG02Brandts, M. Mw. 18282 1954-11-11Coma/verandering bewustzijnsniveau (non-operatief neuro)03Estevez, E. Mw. 15045 1940-07-15Respiratoir - medisch anders04Veldhuis, J. Mw. 14593 1962-05-10Longembolieën	1.0%			
02 Brandts, M. Mw. 18282 1954-11-11 Coma/verandering bewustzijnsniveau (non-operatief neuro) 03 Estevez, E. Mw. 15045 1940-07-15 Respiratoir - medisch anders 04 Veldhuis, J. Mw. 14593 1962-05-10 Longembolieën	1.8%		ø	A
03 Estevez, E. Mw. 15045 1940-07-15 Respiratoir - medisch anders 04 Veldhuis, J. Mw. 14593 1962-05-10 Longembolieën		A	۲	A
04 Veldhuis, J. Mw. 14593 1962-05-10 Longembolieën	2.5%	A	۲	A
	4.7 %	Ą	ø	A
05 Berendse, F. Dhr. 17359 1969-06-12 Cardiovasculair - medisch anders	1.6%	Å	Ø	A
06 Huygens, S. Dhr. 15982 1968-09-29 Bacteriele pneumonie	6.1%	Å	Ŷ	A
07 Tully, T. Dhr. 15066 1939-04-01 Acuut nierfalen	•	Å	\$	A
08 Jungens, M. Dhr. 14290 1994-08-15 Bacteriele pneumonie	8.2%	Å	Ŷ	A
09 Meester, M. Dhr. 14688 1953-12-16 Congestief hartfalen	•	4	Ŷ	A
10 Waninge, G. Mw. 15363 1932-01-16 Post-operatief CABG	5.4%	٨	¢	A
11 Yosef, Y. Dhr. 16976 1979-05-12 Pancreatitis	11.1%	4	¢	A
12 Pols, F. Mw. 16228 1972-09-02 Post-operatief cardiovasculair anders	21.3%	Å	•	A







Has this type of patient ever been seen before, by the model?

pacmed

Afdelingsmonitor) Toon zonder ondersteuning HEROPNAME, MORTALITEIT RISICO BEDNR. V PATIËNTGEGEVENS OPNAME DIAGNOSE ✓ ONDERSTEUNING ✓ 1.0% Janssen, J. Dhr. | 14250 | 1954-11-01 Post-operatief CABG 1.8% Brandts, M. Mw. | 18282 | 1954-11-11 Coma/verandering bewustzijnsniveau (non-operatief neuro) A 🗢 A 03 Estevez, E. Mw. | 15045 | 1940-07-15 2.5% Respiratoir - medisch anders A 🗢 A Veldhuis, J. Mw. | 14593 | 1962-05-10 **4.7**% 04 Longembolieër 1.6% Berendse, F. Dhr. | 17359 | 1969-06-12 Cardiovasculair - medisch anders 05 Huygens, S. Dhr. | 15982 | 1968-09-29 Bacteriele pneumonie 6.1% 06 Tully, T. Dhr. | 15066 | 1939-04-01 ₼ ♥ Ѧ 07 -Acuut nierfalen 8.2% 08 Jungens, M. Dhr. | 14290 | 1994-08-15 Bacteriele pneumonie A 🗢 A Meester, M. Dhr. | 14688 | 1953-12-16 Congestief hartfalen 09 -Waninge, G. Mw. | 15363 | 1932-01-16 Post-operatief CABG 5.4% A 🦁 A 10 11 Yosef, Y. Dhr. | 16976 | 1979-05-12 Pancreatitis 11.1% 🔥 💝 🤌 12 Pols, F. Mw. | 16228 | 1972-09-02 Post-operatief cardiovasculair anders 21.3%

Monitoring



eiding Feedback 🔰





Has this type of patient ever been seen before, by the model?

pacmed

Afdelingsmonitor) Toon zonder ondersteuning HEROPNAME, MORTALITEIT RISICO BEDNR. V PATIËNTGEGEVENS OPNAME DIAGNOSE \checkmark ondersteuning \checkmark 1.0% Janssen, J. Dhr. | 14250 | 1954-11-01 Post-operatief CABG Coma/verandering bewustzijnsniveau (non-operatief neuro) 1.8% Brandts, M. Mw. | 18282 | 1954-11-11 A 🗢 A 03 Estevez, E. Mw. | 15045 | 1940-07-15 2.5% Respiratoir - medisch anders /eldhuis, J. Mw. | 14593 | 1962-05-10 **4.7**% 04 A 🦁 A Longembolieër 1.6% Berendse, F. Dhr. | 17359 | 1969-06-12 Cardiovasculair - medisch anders 6.1% Huygens, S. Dhr. | 15982 | 1968-09-29 Bacteriele pneumonie 06 Tully, T. Dhr. | 15066 | 1939-04-01 ₼ ♥ Ѧ 07 -Acuut nierfalen **8.2**% h 🗢 A 08 Jungens, M. Dhr. | 14290 | 1994-08-15 Bacteriele pneumonie A 🤍 A 09 Meester, M. Dhr. | 14688 | 1953-12-16 Congestief hartfalen -A 🗢 A Waninge, G. Mw. | 15363 | 1932-01-16 Post-operatief CABG 5.4% 10 11 Yosef, Y. Dhr. | 16976 | 1979-05-12 11.1% A 🗢 A Pancreatitis **21.3**% A 🗢 A Readmission in 48 hours or 7 days?

Deployment &



eiding Feedback 🔰







Deployment & Monitoring



All these inputs are available to the doctor in an Instructions for Use



pacmed Critical

ICU Discharge Decision Support Tool

Instructions for Use

		i Download handle	iding Fe	edback	U
		То	on zonder o	nderstei	uning
	OPNAME DIAGNOSE	HEROPNAME/ MORTALITEIT RISICO	V ONDI	ERSTEUN	ing 🗸
1954-11-01	Post-operatief CABG	1.0%	Å	Ŷ	A
2 1954-11-11	Coma/verandering bewustzijnsniveau (non-operatief neuro)	1.8%	A	¢	٨
1940-07-15	Respiratoir - medisch anders	2.5%	4	¢	٨
3 1962-05-10	Longembolieën	4.7%	٨	¢	A
9 1969-06-12	Cardiovasculair - medisch anders	1.6%	٨	•	A
2 1968-09-29	Bacteriele pneumonie	6.1%	٨	•	A
939-04-01	Acuut nierfalen	-	٨	•	A
0 1994-08-15	Bacteriele pneumonie	8.2%	٨	ø	A
8 1953-12-16	Congestief hartfalen	-	٨	•	A
3 1932-01-16	Post-operatief CABG	5.4%	4	•	A
979-05-12	Pancreatitis	11.1%	٨	•	A
72-09-02	Post-operatief cardiovasculair anders	21.3%	Å	Ŷ	A

And doctors are trained to use the software



What are the different methods to achieve interpretability?



Transparency of the methodology and scope of the model

Global understanding of the workings of the model

Specific interpretability of the model's predictions

How to turn the many time series into information relevant for a model (and doctor)?





Discharge window



Recurrent neural nets?



Or explicit feature engineering.





Discharge window

...which allows us to calculate simple and understandable values..



...for hundreds of medical parameters



Patient and admission characteristics

- Age, sex
- Length and weight at
- admission
- Department of origin
- Length of stay
- Vital signs & device data

Respiration

- Respiratory rate
- Mechanical Ventilation
- Tidal Volume
- Expiratory minute Volume
- Respiration modus
- PEEP
- Piek druk
- Supplemental 02
- Fraction of inspired O2
- Type of O2 administration
- Peripheral 02 saturation

Other

- CVVH
- Lines and drains

Medication categories

- Alimentary tract and metabolism
 Musculoskeletal system
- Antibiotics
- Blood and blood-forming organs
 General (sondevoeding)
- Cardiovascular

- Number of prior admissions
- Time in the hospital before admission
- CPR code

₽§ Blood gas analysis Base excess

----Chemistry

Natrium, Kalium

Lab values

Chloride

pН

٠

Calcium, ion. Calcium

02 content in blood

Actual bicarbonate

Arterial 02 saturation

Part. press. (O2 & CO2)

- Magnesium
- Fosfaat
- Creatinine ٠
- CK
- EST and CRP
- Blood glucose •
- Blood lactate
- Amylase
- Serum albumine
- BUN_creatinine
- NT-ProBNP

Clinical observations

- CAM, DOS, RASS, NAS
- GCS
- Pupil size and reaction

- Thrombocytes Lymphocytes
- Leucocytes

Haematology

MCH, MCV

Erythrocytes

Hb, Ht

- Baso, eo and neutro
- Reticulocytes
- PT, APTT

Cardiac enzymes

- CK-MB
- Troponin-T

Liver tests

- ALAT and ASAT
- GGT, AF
- LDH
- Bilirubine

Urinalysis

- Natrium, Kalium
- Ureum
- Cough stimulant
- Urine output
- Number of bronchial toilets

- Nervous system

- Shock index
- Temperature peripheral

- Heart rate
- Cardiac output
- Tidal volume (inspiratory and expiratory)
- Heart rhythm & ectopic

Circulation Blood pressure (diastolic

and systolic, arterial and

- non-invasive) Pulmonary artery press. (diastolic and systolic)
- CVP

PCWP wedge



We can start with global feature importance (for understandable features)



Feature importance Gradient Boosting model

in feature list		in

Fea

Feature (catego	ry)	
category	subcategory	fea
0. lab values	00. neurology	an
0. lab values	00. neurology	ba
0. lab values	00. neurology	bio
0. lab values	00. neurology	bil
0. lab values	00. neurology	cre
0. lab values	00. neurology	gli
0. lab values	00. neurology	lac
0. lab values	00. neurology	DC
0. lab values	00. neurology	pb
0. lab values	00. neurology	00
0. lab values	00. neurology	sn
0. lab values	00. neurology	tro
	02 haematology	an
0 lab values	02 haematology	ha
	02 haematology	ba
	02 haematology	00
	02 haematology	60
	02 haematology	00
	02. haematology	er
	02. haematology	es bo
	02. haematology	he
	02. haematology	lo
	02. haematology	let
0. lab values	02. haematology	i yr
	02. haematology	Tyr
0. lab values	02. haematology	m
0. lab values	02. haematology	m
0. lab values	02. haematology	m
0. lab values	02. haematology	m
	02. haematology	ne
0. lab values	02. haematology	ne
	02. haematology	pro
0. lab values	02. haematology	rei
0. lab values	02. naematology	un
	04. chemistry	an
0. lab values	04. chemistry	Du
0. lab values	04. chemistry	са
0. lab values	04. chemistry	ca
0. lab values	04. cnemistry	cn
0. lab values	04. chemistry	cre
0. lab values	04. chemistry	cr
0. lab values	04. chemistry	TO
0. lab values	04. chemistry	ka
0. lab values	04. chemistry	ma
0. lab values	04. chemistry	na
0. lab values	04. chemistry	nt
0. lab values	04. chemistry	pro
0. lab values	04. chemistry	ur
0. lab values	05. liver tests	ala
0. lab values	05. liver tests	all
0. lab values	05. liver tests	as
0. lab values	05. liver tests	ga
0. lab values	05. liver tests	lac
U. lab values	06. urinalysis	ka
0. lab values	06. urinalysis	na
0. lab values	06. urinalysis	ur
0. lab values	10. cardiac enzymes	m
0. lab values	blood gas analysis	o2

ature mylase ase excess carbonate lirubin reatinine ucose ctate co2 002 oponin ott aso aso_perc perc rythrocyten maglobin ematocrit ukocyten mfo mfo_pero ich cv ono ono_perc eutro eutro_perc othrombin_t ticulocyten rombo bumin in_creatinine alcium alcium_ion nloride eatinine kinas sfaat lium agnesium itrium _probnp rotein_total eum kaline_fosf sat amma_gt ctate_ld alium_urine atrium_urine reum_urine nb_enzyme 2_content



Aggregation type

0. of the first day





Univariate relation between feature and outcome 'medically validates' the model



MCV



(HR: 1.901; 95% CI 1.357-2.662). Other variables associated with this outcome included age, tracheostomy and mean corpuscular volume (MCV) at ICU discharge. Similar results were obtained after the exclusion of unexpected deaths in the ward (HR 1.940; CI 1.312-2.871) and for in-hospital deaths (HR 1.716; 95% CI 1.141-2.580).





What are the different methods to achieve interpretability?



Transparency of the methodology and scope of the model

Global understandability of the workings of the model

Specific interpretability of the model's predictions

If we 'trust' the model globally does sensible things; let's start using the predictions?



□ Toon zonder ondersteuning HEROPNAME/ MORTALITEIT ✓ ONDERSTEUNING ✓
IAGNOSE HEROPNAME/ MORTALITEIT V ONDERSTEUNING V RISICO
atief CABG
andering bewustzijnsniveau (non-operatief neuro) 1.8%
ir - medisch anders 2.5% h 😵 A
olieën 4.7% 🔥 🛠 🙏
culair - medisch anders 1.6%
e pneumonie 6.1% A 😵 A
rfalen - 🔥 😵 🦄
e pneumonie 8.2%
ef hartfalen - 🔥 😵 👌
atief CABG 5.4%
tis 11.1% 🔥 🛠 🙏
atief cardiovasculair anders 21.3%



1.0% readmission risk: can I safely discharge this patient?



	i Download handleid	ling Fee	dback	Ů
	Toor	ı zonder ol	nderste	uning
IAGNOSE	HEROPNAME/			
atief CABG				
andering bewustzijnsniveau (non-o	1.0	70		
ir - medisch anders				_
olieën	4.7%	٨	Ş	A
culair - medisch anders	1.6%	Å	•	A
e pneumonie	6.1%	٨	•	A
rfalen	-	٨	•	
e pneumonie	8.2%	4	•	A
ef hartfalen	-	٨	•	A
atief CABG	5.4%	٨	Ŷ	A
tis	11.1%	٨	•	A
atief cardiovasculair anders	21.3%	٨	•	A

Let's introduce SHAP



GitHub, Inc. [US] | https://github.com/slundberg/shap

or

conda install -c conda-forge shap



☆

Example: features driving hypoxemia risk during surgery



Explainable machine learning predictions to help anesthesiologists prevent hypoxemia during surgery

Kim^{2,3}, Su-In Lee^{1,5}*



Authors: Scott M. Lundberg¹, Bala Nair^{2,6}, Monica S. Vavilala^{2,6}, Mayumi Horibe⁴, Michael J. Eisses^{2,3}, Trevor Adams^{2,3}, David E. Liston^{2,3}, Daniel King-Wai Low^{2,3}, Shu-Fang Newman², Jerry

We tested our machine learning software with 25 intensive care doctors!













From the main screen, you can click on individual patients



pacme	o v1.0.0		i Downloa	ad handleid	ding Fe	edback	Ŵ
Afdelings	monitor			О Тоог	n zonder o	onderste	uning
BEDNR. 🗸	PATIËNTGEGEVENS	OPNAME DIAGNOSE	HEROPN/ MORTALI RISICO	AME/ TEIT	✓ OND	ERSTEUN	IING ∨
01	Janssen, J. Dhr. 14250 1954-11-01	Post-operatief CABG		1.0%	Å	•	A
02	Brandts, M. Mw. 18282 1954-11-11	Coma/verandering bewustzijnsniveau (non-operatief neuro)	1.8%	4	۲	A
03	Estevez, E. Mw. 15045 1940-07-15	Respiratoir - medisch anders		2.5%	4	۲	A
04	Veldhuis, J. Mw. 14593 1962-05-10	Longembolieën		4.7 %	A	۲	A
05	Berendse, F. Dhr. 17359 1969-06-12	Cardiovasculair - medisch anders		1.6%	A	۲	A
06	Huygens, S. Dhr. 15982 1968-09-29	Bacteriele pneumonie		6.1%	A	۲	A
07	Tully, T. Dhr. 15066 1939-04-01	Acuut nierfalen		·	Å	•	۸
08	Jungens, M. Dhr. 14290 1994-08-15	Bacteriele pneumonie		8.2%	A	۲	A
09	Meester, M. Dhr. 14688 1953-12-16	Congestief hartfalen		-	٨	•	A
10	Waninge, G. Mw. 15363 1932-01-16	Post-operatief CABG		5.4%	٨	•	A
11	Yosef, Y. Dhr. 16976 1979-05-12	Pancreatitis		11.1%	Å	•	A
12	Pols, F. Mw. 16228 1972-09-02	Post-operatief cardiovasculair anders		21.3%	Å	۲	A

Attempt 1: Let's add the top 5 predictive features (SHAP) to the user interface



			📄 Download han	dleiding
н	eropname/mortaliteit 5.2	.% Contra-i	ndicaties voor ontslag	• A A 🗢
ortaliteit	Voorspellers heropnan	ne/mortaliteit	Risico verhogend	Risico verlagend
	VOORSPELLER	SPECIFICATIE		WAARDE
	GCS	Mean. entire st	ау	9.0
	Mean NBP	First value		61 mmHg
	MCV	Num. of measu	rements: diff. last & first	day Decreasing
	Diastolic NBP	Mean. relative t	o population	53 mmHg
	Diastolic ABP	Diastolic ABP		20 mmHg



Attempt 1: Let's add the top 5 predictive features (SHAP) to the user interface



				📄 Download har	ndleiding	
Hero	pname/mortaliteit	5.2%	Contra-in	dicaties voor ontslag	• A A 👳	
rtaliteit	Voorspellers he	eropname/mortal	liteit	Risico verhogend	Risico verlagend	
	VOORSPELLER		SPECIFICATIE		WAARDE	
	GCS	P	Mean. entire stay	y	9.0	

Num. of measurements: diff. last & first day Decreasing

Diastolic NBP	Mean. relative to population	53 mmHg
Diastolic ABP	Diastolic ABP	20 mmHg



Let's dive into this example



Let's dive into this example





We learned a lot about what does not work in making ML interpretable



Attempt 2: SHAP-based interpretability layer with additional contextual information

Janssen, J. Dhr. 14250 1954-11-01 Bednummer: 01 Opnameduur: 1 dagen
Diagnose: Post-operatief CABG
Compleet Rece
• Nu

	🔃 Down	load handleiding	Feedback	Ŵ	
Heropname/ Mortaliteit risico 1.0%	Ondersteuni	ng	γ 🕹		
Top 10 belangrijkste voorspellers geassocieerd met een hoger dan gemiddeld risico op heropname/mortalite geassocieerd met een lager dan gemiddeld risico op heropname/mortalite			iteit teit		Let op: deze gegevens zijn slechts bedoeld om een beeld te geven van voorspellende kenmerken. Verbetering van getoonde waardes leidt niet tot verbetering van de model output.
Voorspeller	Waarde van	patiënt			Gemiddelde ontslagen patiënten
HERKOMST AFDELING	Cardiologie/	ЮK			55.39% had deze herkomst
CREATINE KINASE-MUSCLE/BRAIN (CK-MB) Is het gemeten in de afgelopen 24 uur?	Ja				gemeten bij 52.78%
CARDIALE OUTPUT Laatste waarde (over de gehele opname)	7 l/min				laatstgemeten waarde van 5.45 I/min
ADEMHALINGSFREQUENTIE MONITOR Gemiddelde over de laatste 24 uur	1 9 /min				gemiddelde van 17.6 /min
ZUURSTOF SATURATIE Maximum waarde over de gehele opname	98 %				maximum van 99.568 %
PH Laatste waarde (over de gehele opname)	7.44				laatstgemeten waarde van 7.417
CARDIALE OUTPUT Is het gemeten in de eerste 24 uur?	Ja				gemeten bij 35.27%



How did we improve it?



Top 10 belangrijkste voorspellers geassocieerd met een hoger dan gemiddeld risico op heropname/mortaliteit geassocieerd met een lager dan gemiddeld risico op heropname/mortaliteit					
Voorspeller		Waarde van patiënt			
HERKOMST AFDELING	•	Cardiologie/OK			
CREATINE KINASE-MUSCLE/BRAIN (CK-MB) Is het gemeten in de afgelopen 24 uur?	•	Ja			
CARDIALE OUTPUT Laatste waarde (over de gehele opname)	•	7 l/min			
ADEMHALINGSFREQUENTIE MONITOR Gemiddelde over de laatste 24 uur	•	19 /min			
ZUURSTOF SATURATIE Maximum waarde over de gehele opname	•	98 %			
PH Laatste waarde (over de gehele opname)	•	7.44			
CARDIALE OUTPUT Is het gemeten in de eerste 24 uur?	•	Ja			



Gemiddelde ontslagen patiënten

55.39% had deze herkomst

gemeten bij 52.78%

laatstgemeten waarde van 5.45 I/min

gemiddelde van 17.6 /min

maximum van 99.568 %

laatstgemeten waarde van 7.417

gemeten bij 35.27%

Disclaimer on causality

Remove clinically irrelevant features

Removed **too comple**x feature engineering

Top 10 features **ordered on SHAP** value

Added **additional context** to the feature values



Next steps in interpretability we are working on



Towards an **interpretability** layer 3.0



Dealing with **uncertainty** in the predictions

Н

Testing and measuring added value of interpretability panel

Interpretability layer 3.0 provides additional information for the most important features



Predictor		Value for this patient	p
HERKOMST AFDELING	•	Cardiologie/OK	5
CREATINE KINASE-MUSCLE/BRAIN (CK-MB) Is het gemeten in de afgelopen 24 uur?	•	Ja	g
CARDIALE OUTPUT Laatste waarde (over de gehele opname)	•	7 l/min	la I/
ADEMHALINGSFREQUENTIE MONITOR Gemiddelde over de laatste 24 uur	•	19 /min	g
ZUURSTOF SATURATIE Maximum waarde over de gehele opname	•	98 %	m
PH Laatste waarde (over de gehele opname)	•	7.44	la
CARDIALE OUTPUT Is het gemeten in de eerste 24 uur?	•	Ja	g





Development over time

Oxygen saturation





Adding uncertainty to the predictions can help doctor asses how to use the model







How to 'scientifically' test the added value of the interpretability panel?





What is Interpretable? Using ML to Design Interpretable Decision-Support Systems

OWEN LAHAV¹, NICHOLAS MASTRONARDE², AND MIHAELA VAN DER SCHAAR^{1,3,4} ¹UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, ²UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO, ³UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES (UCLA), ⁴Alan Turing Institute



	- 11
ts van	11
	11
des	- 11
	- 11
	- 11
tiënten	11
t	- 11
	- 11
	11
	- 11
ז 5.45	- 11
	11
	11
	11
ז 7.417	



Transparency of the methodology and scope of the model

Global understandability of the workings of the model

Specific interpretability of the model's predictions



pacmed







Platform voor de InformatieSamenleving

Thank you!

david.ruhe@gmail.com

